

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

1615

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/671,540
 09/27/00
 KING
 T
 121117-1000

HM12/1023

EDWIN S FLORES ESQ GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL LLP 3000 THANKSGIVING TOWER 1601 ELM STREET DALLAS TX 75201-4761 EXAMINER
PULLIAM, A

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/671,540	KING ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner		
			Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Amy E Pulliam	1615	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 S	<u>September 2000</u> .		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.		
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 8	

70

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, 23, 24, drawn to a method for making microspheres, classified in class 427, subclass 212.
- II. Claims 19-22, drawn to a system for delivering a therapeutic agent to tissue, classified in class 424, subclass 489.
- III. Claims 25-36, drawn to microspheres, classified in class 424, subclass 489.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case microspheres can be made in several ways, as is discussed by applicant in the specification (see page 4). Applicant teaches that microspheres can be made through encapsulation using the atomization-freeze process, as well as the method described in "Delivering Neuroactive Molecules from Biodegradable Microspheres for Application in Central Nervous System Disorders." In the latter case, the microspheres are formed by dispersing the active in a solvent,

Application/Control Number: 09/671,540

Art Unit: 1615

forming an emulsion, evaporating off the solvent, and freeze drying the result. This reiterates the statement that microspheres can be formed in ways other than the one claimed by applicant. Although applicant has drafted the composition claims to depend from the method of making claims, this is insignificant, the patentability of product by process claims is based on the product itself, not the process.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)), These inventions relate in the same manner as I and II discussed above.

Inventions II and III are distinct as invention II (the system) requires the presence of a dispenser, and invention III is the microspheres alone.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy E Pulliam whose telephone number is (703) 308-4710. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-5:00, alternate Fri 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/671,540 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3592 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

aep October 19, 2001

communications.

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTRE THE TABLET TECHNOLOGY CENTRE THE TABLET TECHNOLOGY CENTRE TO THE